arXiv:1612.00698v1 [math.CV] 2 Dec 2016

ORBITS OF REAL FORMS, MATSUKI DUALITY AND *CR*-COHOMOLOGY

STEFANO MARINI AND MAURO NACINOVICH

Contents

1. Compact homogeneous *CR* manifolds 2
2. Mostow fibration and applications to cohomology 7
3. Example: General orbits of $\mathbf{SU}(p,q)$ in the Grassmannian 9
References 10

This paper gives an overview on some topics concerning compact homogeneous *CR* manifolds, that have been developed in the last few years. The algebraic structure of compact Lie group was employed in [3] to show that a large class of compact *CR* manifolds can be viewed as the total spaces of fiber bundles over complex flag manifolds, generalizing the classical Hopf fibration for the odd dimensional sphere and the Boothby-Wang fibration for homogeneous compact contact manifolds (see [6]). If a compact group $\mathbf{K}_0$ acts as a transitive group of *CR* diffeomorphisms of a *CR* manifold M_0, which is $\mathfrak{n}$-reductive in the sense of [3], one can construct a homogeneous space $M_- = \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{V}$ of the complexification $\mathbf{K}$ of $\mathbf{K}_0$ such that the map $M_0 \to M_-$ associated to the inclusion $\mathbf{K}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{K}$ is a generic *CR* embedding. The manifold M_- is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}$ and a tubular neighborhood of M_0. For instance, if M_0 is the sphere S^{2n-1} in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbf{K}_0 = \mathbf{SU}(n)$, the embedding $M_0 \hookrightarrow M = \mathbb{CP}^n$ is useful to compute the maximal group of *CR* automorphisms of M_0 (see [10, 11]), while the embedding $M_0 \hookrightarrow M_- = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus\{0\}$ better reflects the topology and the *CR cohomology* of M_0. Thus, for some aspects of *CR* geometry, we can consider M_- to be the *best* complex realization of M_0. This is the essential contents of the PhD thesis of the first Author ([18]): his aim was to show that, in a range which depends on the pseudoconcavity of M_0, the groups of tangential Cauchy-Riemann cohomology of M_0 are isomorphic to the corresponding Dolbeault cohomology groups of M_-. The class of compact homogeneous *CR* manifolds to which this theory applies includes the intersections of Matsuki dual orbits in complex flag manifolds (cf. [21]).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we discuss some basic facts on compact homogeneous *CR* manifolds, including Matsuki duality and the notion of $\mathfrak{n}$-reductivity. The second section describes $\mathbf{K}_0$-covariant fibrations $M_- \to M_0$ and, under a special assumption on the partial complex structure of M_0 (that we call HNR), results on the cohomology, which in part are contained in [18] and have

Date: November 29, 2016.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 32V30 Secondary: 32V25, 32V35, 53C30.
Key words and phrases. homogeneous *CR*-manifold, *CR*-embedding, Matsuki duality, tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex, Dolbeault cohomology.

been further developed in [19]. In a final section we discuss a simple example of $\mathfrak{n}$-reductive compact *CR* manifolds which are intersections of Matsuki dual orbits.

1. Compact homogeneous *CR* manifolds

A *compact homogeneous CR manifold* is a *CR* manifold M on which a compact Lie group $\mathbf{K}_0$ acts transitively as a group of *CR* diffeomorphisms. Its *CR* structure is uniquely determined by the datum, for the choice of a *base point* p_0 of M, of the *CR* algebra $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$, where $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathbf{K}_0)$ and $\mathfrak{v} = d\pi^{-1}(T^{0,1}_{p_0}M)$, for the complexification $d\pi$ of the differential of the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbf{K}_0 \ni x \to x \cdot p_0 \in M$. We recall that, by the formal integrability of the partial complex structure of M, the subspace $\mathfrak{v}$ is in fact a Lie subalgebra of the complexification $\mathfrak{k}$ of $\mathfrak{k}_0$. These pairs where introduced in [22] to discuss homogeneous *CR* manifolds and the compact case was especially investigated in [3].

1.1. **Lie algebras of compact Lie groups.** Compact Lie algebras are characterized in [8] by

Proposition 1.1. *For a real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_u$ the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$\mathfrak{g}_u$ is the Lie algebra of a compact Lie group;*
(2) *the analytic Lie subgroup* $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g}_u)$ *of* $\mathbf{GL}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}_u)$ *with Lie algebra* $\mathrm{ad}(\mathfrak{g}_u)$ *is compact;*
(3) *on $\mathfrak{g}_u$ a symmetric bilinear form can be defined which is invariant and positive definite;*
(4) *$\mathfrak{g}_u$ is reductive, i.e. its adjoint representation is semi-simple and, for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}_u$ the endomorphism* $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is semisimple with purely imaginary eigenvalues;*
(5) *$\mathfrak{g}_u$ is reductive with a negative semidefinite Killing form.* □

1.2. **Complex flag manifolds.** Let $\mathbf{G}_u$ be a compact Lie group, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_u$. The negative of the trace form of a faithful representation of $\mathfrak{g}_u$ yields an invariant scalar product b on $\mathfrak{g}_u$, that we use to identify $\mathfrak{g}_u$ with its dual $\mathfrak{g}_u^*$. In particular, the coadjoint orbits of $\mathbf{G}_u$ are canonically isomorphic to the adjoint orbits in $\mathfrak{g}_u$. We follow [16, §5.2]. Fix an element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_u$ with $\mathrm{ad}(H_0)$ of maximal rank. Then the commutant

$$\mathfrak{t}_u = \{H \in \mathfrak{g}_u \mid [H_0, H] = 0\}$$

is a maximal torus of $\mathfrak{g}_u$. Denote by $\mathbf{T}_{H_0} = \{x \in \mathbf{G}_u \mid \mathrm{Ad}(x)(H_0) = H_0\}$ the corresponding torus of $\mathbf{G}_u$. The Weyl group W_{H_0} is the quotient of the normalizer $N_{\mathbf{G}_u}(\mathfrak{t}_u) = \{x \in \mathbf{G}_u \mid \mathrm{Ad}(x)(\mathfrak{t}_u) \subset \mathfrak{t}_u\} = \{x \in \mathbf{G}_u \mid [\mathrm{Ad}(x)(H_0), H_0] = 0\}$ with respect to $\mathbf{T}_{H_0}$.

We set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g}_u$, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} = i\mathfrak{t}_u$ and denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the set of nonzero $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [H, X] = \alpha X,\ \forall H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}\} \neq \{0\}$. We choose a system of simple roots $\alpha_1 \ldots, \alpha_\ell$ in $\mathcal{R}$ with $\alpha_j(iH_0) > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, and denote by

$$C(H_0) = \{X \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \alpha_i(X) > 0,\ 1 \leq i \leq \ell\}$$

the corresponding positive Weyl chamber.

Lemma 1.2. *Every adjoint orbit M in $\mathfrak{g}_u$ intersects $iC(H_0)$ in exactly one point.*

Proof. Let $f(X) = b(X, H_0)$. Since M is compact, f has stationary points on M. A stationary point X_0 is characterized by

$$df(X_0) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow 0 = b([X, X_0], H_0) = b(X, [X_0, H_0]),\ \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_u \Longleftrightarrow X_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_u.$$

This shows that the intersection $M \cap \mathfrak{t}_u$ is not empty and all its points are critical for f. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{Ad}(x)(X_0) \in \mathfrak{t}_u$, we can find $x' \in \mathbf{G}_u$ with $\mathrm{Ad}(x')(X_0) = \mathrm{Ad}(x)(X_0)$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(x)(\mathfrak{t}_u) = \mathfrak{t}_u$, so that the Weyl group is transitive on $M \cap \mathfrak{t}_u$. □

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\mathbf{G}_u$ be a connected compact Lie group. Then:*

(1) *For each $\Upsilon \in \mathfrak{g}_u$ the stabilizer $\mathbf{E}(\Upsilon) = \{x \in \mathbf{G}_u \mid \mathrm{Ad}(x)(\Upsilon) = \Upsilon\}$ of Υ contains a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_u$.*
(2) *If $\mathbf{T}_0$ is any fixed maximal torus in $\mathbf{G}_u$, then there are finitely many subgroups $\mathbf{A}_0$ with $\mathbf{T}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{A}_0 \subseteq \mathbf{G}_u$.*
(3) *There is a unique maximal orbit M whose stabilizer at each point is a maximal torus of $\mathfrak{g}_u$.* □

Definition 1.1. A *flag manifolds* of $\mathbf{G}_u$ is an orbit of its adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}_u$.

Theorem 1.4. *On a flag manifold M of $\mathbf{G}_u$ it is possible to define a complex structure and a $\mathbf{G}_u$-invariant Kähler structure.*

If $\mathbf{T}$ is a maximal torus of $\mathbf{G}_u$, the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{G}_u)$ act transitively on the $\mathbf{G}_u$-invariant complex structures of M.

Proof. Fix a point p_0 of M, corresponding to $\Upsilon_0 \in \mathfrak{g}_u$. The stabilizer $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}_u}(\Upsilon_0)$ contains a maximal torus $\mathbf{T}$ and therefore there are finitely many parabolic subalgebras $\mathfrak{q}$ of the complexification $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_u$ with $\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{stab}_{\mathfrak{g}_u}(\Upsilon_0)$ (the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{G}_u}(\Upsilon_0)$). Each $\mathfrak{q}$ corresponds to a different $\mathbf{G}_u$-invariant complex structure on M, and the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{G}_u)$ act transitively on the $\mathfrak{q}$'s. □

Let $\mathbf{G}$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, be the complexification of $\mathbf{G}_u$. The analytic subgroup $\mathbf{Q}$ corresponding to the subalgebra $\mathfrak{q}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.4 is parabolic and the complex structure of M is also defined by its representation $M \simeq \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Q}$ as a complex homogeneous space. Vice versa, if $\mathbf{Q}$ is parabolic in $\mathbf{G}$, the homogeneous space $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Q}$ is $\mathbf{G}_u$-diffeomorphic to a flag manifold of its compact form $\mathbf{G}_u$.

1.3. **Matsuki's Dual Orbits.** Let $\mathbf{G}_0$ be any real form and $\mathbf{G}_u$ a compact form of of a semisimple complex Lie group $\mathbf{G}$, with $\mathbf{K}_0 = \mathbf{G}_0 \cap \mathbf{G}_u$ a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_0$ and σ, τ the commuting conjugations on $\mathbf{G}$, and on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, with respect to $\mathbf{G}_0$ and $\mathbf{G}_u$, respectively. The composition $\theta = \sigma \circ \tau$ is the complexification of a Cartan involution of $\mathbf{G}_0$ (and of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$) commuting wiht σ and τ. The complexification $\mathbf{K}$ of $\mathbf{K}_0$ is the subgroup $\mathbf{G}^\theta$ of the elements $x \in \mathbf{G}$ which are fixed by θ.

Let $\mathbf{Q}$ be a parabolic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ and consider the actions of the Lie groups $\mathbf{G}_0$, $\mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{K}_0$ on the complex flag manifold $M = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Q}$.

Notation. For $p \in N = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Q}$, let us set

$$M_+(p) = \mathbf{G}_0 \cdot p \simeq \mathbf{G}_0/\mathbf{E}_0, \qquad \text{with } \mathbf{E}_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{G}_0 \mid x \cdot p = p\}, \tag{1.1}$$

$$M_-(p) = \mathbf{K} \cdot p \simeq \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{V}, \qquad \text{with } \mathbf{V} = \{z \in \mathbf{K} \mid z \cdot p = p\}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$M_0(p) = \mathbf{K}_0 \cdot p = \mathbf{K}_0/\mathbf{V}_0, \qquad \text{with } \mathbf{V}_0 = \{x \in \mathbf{K}_0 \mid x \cdot p = p\}. \tag{1.3}$$

We note that $M_+(p)$ are real, $M_-(p)$ complex and $M_0(p)$ compact submanifolds of M, and denote by $\mathcal{M}_+, \mathcal{M}_-, \mathcal{M}_0$ the sets of orbits of $\mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}_0$ in M, respectively.

We know (see [20, 21, 26]) that

Theorem 1.5. *There are finitely many orbits in $\mathcal{M}_+$ and in $\mathcal{M}_-$.*

There is a one-to-one correspondence $\mathcal{M}_+ \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}_-$ such that $M_+(p_+)$ and $M_-(p_-)$ are related if and only if $M_+(p_+) \cap M_-(p_-) = M_0(p_0) \in \mathcal{M}_0$ (Matsuki duality).

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is done by considering the elements of $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ in the Grassmannian of $\dim(\mathfrak{q})$-subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$. On each orbit we can pick a $\mathfrak{q}'$ containing a θ-stable Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The fact that $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ is finite is then a consequence of the fact that there are only finitely many congjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras with respect to the action of either $\mathbf{G}_0$ or $\mathbf{K}$ (see e.g. [17, Prop. 6.64]). The last part of the statement is a consequence of the following

Lemma 1.6. *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}'$ be Borel subalgebras containing θ-stable Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. If $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}'$ are either $\mathbf{G}_0$- or $\mathbf{K}$-conjugate, then they are $\mathbf{K}_0$-conjugate.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}'$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}'$ are the complexifications of θ-stable Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{h}_0$ and $\mathfrak{h}'_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{n}'$ the nilradicals of $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{b}'$, respectively.

Assume that $\mathfrak{b} = \mathrm{Ad}(g_0)(\mathfrak{b}')$, for some $g_0 \in \mathbf{G}_0$. The proof in the case where $\mathfrak{b} = \mathrm{Ad}(k)(\mathfrak{b}')$ for some $k \in \mathbf{K}$ is similar, and will be omitted.

Both $\mathrm{Ad}(g_0)(\mathfrak{h}'_0)$ and $\mathfrak{h}_0$ are Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$, which is a solvable Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Hence $\mathrm{Ad}(g_0)(\mathfrak{h}'_0) = \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(X_0))(\mathfrak{h}_0)$ for some X_0 in the nilradical of $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. For $g_1 = \exp(-X_0) \cdot g_0$, we have $\mathrm{Ad}(g_1)(\mathfrak{h}'_0) = \mathfrak{h}_0$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(g_1)(\mathfrak{b}') = \mathfrak{b}$.

To show that $g_1 \in \mathbf{K}_0$, we use the Cartan decomposition $\mathbf{G}_0 = \exp(\mathfrak{p}_0) \cdot \mathbf{K}_0$, where $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \{Y \in \mathfrak{g}_0 \mid \theta(Y) = -Y\}$, to write $g_1 = \exp(Y_0) \cdot k_0$, with $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ and $k_0 \in \mathbf{K}_0$. From

$$\mathrm{Ad}(g_1)(\mathfrak{h}'_0) = \mathfrak{h}_0 = \theta(\mathfrak{h}_0) = \theta(\mathrm{Ad}(g_1)(\theta(\mathfrak{h}'_0)) = \mathrm{Ad}(\theta(g_1))(\mathfrak{h}'_0)$$

we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Ad}(\exp(Y_0))(\mathrm{Ad}(k_0)(\mathfrak{h}'_0)) = \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(-Y_0))(\mathrm{Ad}(k_0)(\mathfrak{h}'_0)),$$

i.e. $y_0 = \mathrm{Ad}(\exp(2Y_0))$ normalizes the θ-stable Cartan subalgebra $\mathrm{Ad}(k_0)(\mathfrak{h}'_0)$. This implies that $y_0 \in \mathbf{K}_0$ and thus that $Y_0 = 0$, yielding $g_1 = k_0 \in \mathbf{K}_0$. □

From Lemma 1.6 we immediately obtain the statement on the Matsuki duality in the case where $\mathbf{Q}$ is a Borel subgroup $\mathbf{B}$. The general case follows by considering the natural fibration $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B} \to \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{Q}$ for a Borel $\mathbf{B} \subset \mathbf{Q}$. □

1.4. **CR Manifolds.** Let M_0 be a smooth manifold of real dimensione m, countable at infinity. A *formally integrable partial complex structure* of type (n, k) (with $m = 2n + k$) on M_0 is a pair (HM_0, J), consisting of a rank $2n$ vector subbundle HM_0 of its tangent bundle TM_0 and a smooth fiber-preserving vector bundle isomorphism $J : HM_0 \to HM_0$ with $J^2 = -I$, satisfying the integrability conditions

$$\begin{cases} [X, Y] - [JX, JY] \in \Gamma(M_0, HM_0), \\ [X, JY] + [JX, Y] = J([X, Y] - [JX, JY]), \end{cases} \qquad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(M_0, HM_0).$$

This is equivalent to the fact that the subbundles $T^{1,0}M_0 = \{x - iJX \mid X \in HM_0\}$, $T^{0,1}M_0 = \{x + iJX \mid X \in HM_0\}$ of the complexification $\mathbb{C} \otimes HM_0$ of the structure bundle HM_0 are formally *Frobenius integrable,* i.e. that

$$[\Gamma(M_0, T^{1,0}M_0), \Gamma(M_0, T^{1,0}M_0)] \subset \Gamma(M_0, T^{1,0}M_0),$$

or, equivalently, that

$$[\Gamma(M_0, T^{0,1}M_0), \Gamma(M_0, T^{0,1}M_0)] \subset \Gamma(M_0, T^{0,1}M_0).$$

These complex subbundles are the eigenspaces of J corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm i$ and $T^{1,0}M_0 = \overline{T^{0,1}M_0}$, $T^{1,0}M_0 \cap T^{0,1}M_0 = \{0\}$, $T^{1,0}M_0 \oplus T^{0,1}M_0 = \mathbb{C} \otimes HM_0$.

An (abstract) *CR manifold* M_0 of type (n, k) is a smooth paracompact real manifold M_0 on which a formally integrable partial complex structure (HM_0, J), of type (n, k), has been fixed. The integers n and k are its *CR* dimension and codimension, respectively. Complex manifolds have $k = 0$, while for $n = 0$ we say that M_0 is *totally real.*

A smooth map $f : M_0 \to N_0$ between *CR* manifolds is *CR* iff its differential df maps HM_0 to HN_0 and commutes with the partial complex structures.

If $f : M_0 \to N_0$ is a *CR* map and a smooth immersion (resp. embedding) such that $df^{-1}(HN_0) = HM_0$, then we say that f is a *CR* immersion (resp. embedding). Let M_0 be of type (n_{M_0}, k_{M_0}) and N_0 of type (n_{N_0}, k_{N_0}). A CR immersion (or embedding) $f : M_0 \to N_0$ is *generic* if $n_{M_0} + k_{M_0} = n_{N_0} + k_{N_0}$.

The *characteristic bundle* H^0M_0 of a *CR* manifold M_0, of type (n, k), is the annihilator bundle of its structure bundle HM_0. It is a rank k linear subbundle of the real cotangent bundle T^*M_0. Its elements parametrize the *scalar Levi forms* of M_0: if $\xi \in H^0_{p_0}M_0$ and $X \in H_{p_0}M_0$, then

$$\mathrm{L}_\xi(X) = d\tilde{\xi}(X, JX) = \xi([J\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}]),$$

where $\tilde{\xi} \in \Gamma(M_0.H^0M_0)$ extends ξ and $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(M_0, HM_0)$ extends X, is a quadratic form on $H_{p_0}M$, which is Hermitian with respect to the complex structure defined by J. This L_ξ is the *scalar Levi form at the characteristic* ξ.

If $\tilde{Z} = \tilde{X} + iJ\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(M_0, T^{0,1}M_0)$, then $\mathrm{L}_\xi(X) = \frac{1}{2}\xi(i[\tilde{Z}^*, \tilde{Z}])$ and therefore we can as well consider the scalar Levi forms as defined on $T^{0,1}_{p_0}M_0$.

Let $\lambda(\alpha) = (\lambda^+(\xi), \lambda^-(\xi))$ be the signature of the Hermitian form L_ξ. Then $\nu_\xi = \min\{\lambda^+(\xi), \lambda^-(\xi)\}$ is its *Witt index.*

We say that M_0 is *strongly q-pseudoconcave at a point* p_0 if the Witt index of L_ξ is greater or equal to q for all nonzero $\xi \in H^0_{p_0}M_0$.

For the relevant definitions of the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex and the relationship of its groups with q-pseudoconcavity we refer e.g. to [1, 2, 4, 9, 13, 14, 15, 25].

1.5. **Homogeneous CR Manifolds.** Let $\mathbf{G}_0$ be a real Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and denote by $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0$ its complexification. A $\mathbf{G}_0$*-homogeneous* CR *manifold* is a $\mathbf{G}_0$-homogeneous *smooth* manifold endowed with a $\mathbf{G}_0$-invariant CR structure.

Let M_0 be a $\mathbf{G}_0$-homogeneous CR manifold. Fix a point $p_0 \in M_0$ and denote by $\mathbf{E}_0$ its stabilizer in $\mathbf{G}_0$. The natural projection

$$\pi : \mathbf{G}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_0/\mathbf{E}_0 \simeq M_0,$$

makes $\mathbf{G}_0$ the total space of a principal $\mathbf{E}_0$-bundle with base M_0. Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ the space of smooth sections of the pullback to $\mathbf{G}_0$ of $T^{0,1}M$. It is the set of complex valued vector fields Z on $\mathbf{G}_0$ such that $d\pi^{\mathbb{C}}(Z_g) \in T^{0,1}_{\pi(g)}M_0$, for all $g \in \mathbf{G}_0$.

Since $T^{0,1}M$ is formally integrable, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ is formally integrable, i.e.

$$[\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{G}_0), \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{G}_0)] \subset \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{G}_0).$$

Being invariant by left translations, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ is generated, as a left $C^\infty(\mathbf{G}_0)$-module, by its left invariant vector fields. Hence

$$\mathfrak{e} = (d\pi^{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(T^{0,1}_{x_0}M) \subset \mathfrak{g} = T^{\mathbb{C}}_e\,\mathbf{G}_0 \tag{1.4}$$

is an $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathbf{E}_0)$-invariant complex Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We have:

Lemma 1.7. *Denote by* $\mathfrak{e}_0$ *the Lie algebra of the isotropy subgroup* $\mathbf{E}_0$. *Then* (1.4) *establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the* $\mathbf{G}_0$*-homogeneus CR structures on* $M_0 = \mathbf{G}_0/\mathbf{E}_0$ *and the* $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{E}_0)$*-invariant complex Lie subalgebras* $\mathfrak{e}$ *of* $\mathfrak{g}$ *such that* $\mathfrak{e} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{e}_0$. □

The pair $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{e})$ completely determines the homogeneous *CR* structure of M_0 and is called *the CR-algebra* of M_0 at p_0 (see [22]).

Let M_0, N_0 be $\mathbf{G}_0$-homogeneous CR manifolds and $\phi : M_0 \to N_0$ a $\mathbf{G}_0$-equivariant smooth map. Fix $p_0 \in M_0$ al let $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{e})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{f})$ be the CR algebras associated to M_0 at p_0 and to N_0 at $\phi(p_0)$, respectively. Then $\mathfrak{e} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{e}} \subset \mathfrak{f} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{f}}$, and ϕ is *CR* if and only if $\mathfrak{e} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ and is *a CR-submersion* if and only if $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{f} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{f}}$.

The fibers of a $\mathbf{G}_0$-equivariant *CR* submersion are homogeneous *CR* manifolds: if $\mathbf{F}_0$ is the stabilizer of $\phi(p_0) \in N_0$, with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_0 = \mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$, then $\Phi_0 = \phi^{-1}(\phi(p_0)) \simeq \mathbf{F}_0/\mathbf{E}_0$ has $(\mathfrak{f}_0, \mathfrak{e} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{f}})$ as associated *CR* algebra at p_0.

Corollary 1.8. *A CR-subbmersion* $\phi : M_0 \to N_0$ *has :*

(1) *totally real fibers if and only if* $\mathfrak{e} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{f}} = \overline{\mathfrak{e}} \cap \mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{e} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{e}}$ *;*
(2) *complex fibers if and only if* $\mathfrak{e} \cap \mathfrak{f} + \overline{\mathfrak{e}} \cap \mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{f}}$. □

1.6. $\mathfrak{n}$**-reductive compact** *CR* **manifolds.** Let κ be a reductive complex Lie algebra and

$$\kappa = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$$

its decomposition into the sum of its center $\mathfrak{z} = \{X \in \kappa \mid [X, Y] = 0, \ \forall Y \in \kappa\}$ and its semisimple ideal $\mathfrak{s} = [\kappa, \kappa]$. We fix a a faithful linear representation of κ in which the elements of $\mathfrak{z}$ correspond to semisimple matrices. We call semisimple and nilpotent the elements of κ which are associated to semisimple and nilpotent matrices, respectively. Each $X \in \kappa$ admits a unique Jordan-Chevalley decomposition

$$X = X_s + X_n, \quad \text{with } X_s, \ X_n \in \kappa \text{ and } X_s \text{ semisimple, } X_n \text{ nilpotent.} \tag{1.5}$$

A real or complex Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{v}$ of κ is called *splittable* if, for each $X \in \mathfrak{v}$, both X_s and X_n belong to $\mathfrak{v}$.

Let $\mathfrak{v}$ be a Lie subalgebra of κ and $\mathrm{rad}(\mathfrak{v})$ its radical (i.e. its maximal solvable ideal). Denote by

$$\mathfrak{v}_n = \{X \in \mathrm{rad}(\mathfrak{v}) \mid \mathrm{ad}(X) \text{ is nilpotent}\} \tag{1.6}$$

its nilradical (see [12, p.58]). It is the maximal nilpotent ideal of $\mathfrak{v}$. We have (see [7, Ch.VII,§5,Prop.7]):

Proposition 1.9. *Every splittable Lie subalgebra* $\mathfrak{v}$ *is a direct sum*

$$\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{v}_r + \mathfrak{v}_n, \tag{1.7}$$

of its nilradical $\mathfrak{v}_n$ *and of a reductive subalgebra* $\mathfrak{v}_r$*, which is uniquely determined modulo conjugation by elementary automorphisms of* $\mathfrak{v}$. □

We assume in the following that κ is the complexification of a compact Lie algebra κ_0. Since compact Lie algebras are reductive, and the complexification of a reductive real Lie algebra is reductive, κ is complex reductive. Conjugation in κ will be taken with respect to the real form κ_0.

Proposition 1.10. *For any complex Lie subalgebra* $\mathfrak{v}$ *of* $\mathfrak{k}$*, the intersection* $\mathfrak{v} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{v}}$ *is reductive and splittable. In particular* $\mathfrak{v} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{v}} \cap \mathfrak{v}_n = \{0\}$*. A splittable* $\mathfrak{v}$ *admits a Levi-Chevalley decomposition with a reductive Levi factor containing* $\mathfrak{v} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{v}}$*.* □

Let M_0 be a $\mathbf{K}_0$-homogeneous *CR* manifold, $p_0 \in M_0$ and $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$ its *CR* algebra at p_0.

Definition 1.1. We say that M_0 and its CR-algebra $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$ are $\mathfrak{n}$-*reductive* if

$$\mathfrak{v} = (\mathfrak{v} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{v}}) \oplus \mathfrak{v}_n, \tag{1.8}$$

i.e. if $\mathfrak{v}_r = \mathfrak{v} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{v}}$ is a reductive Levi factor in $\mathfrak{v}$.

If $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$ is $\mathfrak{n}$-reductive, then $\mathfrak{v}$ is splittable. Indeed the elements of $\mathfrak{v}_n$ are nilpotent and those of $\mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{k}_0$ semisimple. Having a set of generators that are either nilpotent or semisimple, $\mathfrak{v}$ is splittable (see [7, Ch.VII,§5,Thm.1]).

Let us consider the situation of §1.3 and keep the notation therein. The submanifolds $M_0(p)$ are examples of compact $\mathbf{K}_0$-homogeneous *CR* submanifold of the complex flag manifolds M. In [3, §6] it was shown that

Proposition 1.11. *The inclusion* $M_0(p) \hookrightarrow M_-(p)$ *is a generic CR embedding. A necessary and sufficient condition for* $M_0(p)$ *to be* $\mathfrak{n}$*-reductive is that*

$$M_0(p) = M_+(p) \cap M_-(p).$$

2. Mostow fibration and applications to cohomology

We use the notation of §1.6. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be the analytic subgroup of $\mathbf{K}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathbf{V}_0$ the isotropy subgroup at $p_0 \in M_0$, having Lie algebra $\mathfrak{v}_0 = \mathfrak{v} \cap \mathfrak{k}_0$.

Proposition 2.1 ([3, Theorem 26])**.** *If* M_0 *is* $\mathfrak{n}$*-reductive, then* $\mathbf{V}$ *is an algebraic subgroup of* $\mathbf{K}$ *and the natural map*

$$M_0 = \mathbf{K}_0/\mathbf{V}_0 \longrightarrow M_- = \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{V}$$

is a generic CR embedding. □

When, as in §1.3, M_0 is the intersection of two Matsuki dual orbits in a complex flag manifold, M_- has a compactification $\tilde{M}_-$ which is a complex projective variety. Thus, in principle, we can study its Dolbeault cohomology by algebraic geometric techniques. On the other hand, by Mostow's decomposition (see [23, 24]) we know that M_- is a $\mathbf{K}_0$-equivariant fiber bundle over M_0, whose fibers are totally real Euclidean subspaces. We can exploit this fact for constructing an exhaustion function on M_- whose level sets can be used to relate the tangential *CR* cohomology of M_0 to the Dolbeaut cohomology of M_-.

2.1. **Mostow fibration of** M_- **(I).** The isotropy $\mathbf{V}_0$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbf{V}$ and, putting together the Levi-Chevalley decomposition of $\mathbf{V}$ and the Cartan decomposition of $\mathbf{V}_r$, we have a diffeomorphism

$$\mathbf{V}_0 \times \mathfrak{v}_0 \times \mathfrak{v}_n \ni (x, Y, Z) \longrightarrow x \cdot \exp(iY) \cdot \exp(Z) \in \mathbf{V}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then by [24, Theorem A] *we can find a closed Euclidean subspace* F *of* $\mathbf{K}$ *such that* $\mathrm{ad}(y)(F) = F$*, for all* $y \in \mathbf{V}_0$*, and*

$$\mathbf{K}_0 \times F \times \mathfrak{v}_0 \times \mathfrak{v}_n \ni (x, f, Y, Z) \longrightarrow x \cdot f \cdot \exp(iY) \cdot \exp(Z) \in \mathbf{K} \tag{2.2}$$

is a diffeomorphism.

Let b be an $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathbf{K}_0)$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{k}_0$ and set

$$\mathfrak{m}_0 = ((\mathfrak{v} + \overline{\mathfrak{v}}) \cap \mathfrak{k}_0)^{\perp}, \quad \mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{m}_0.$$

Since[1] $\mathfrak{v}_n \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{v}}_n = \mathfrak{v}_n \oplus ([\mathfrak{v}_n \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{v}}_n] \cap \mathfrak{k}_0)$, we obtain the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus i\,\mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus (i\,\mathfrak{v}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{v}_n).$$

which suggests that $\exp(i\mathfrak{m}_0)$ could be a reasonable candidate for the fiber F of the Mostow fibration. Let us consider the smooth map

$$\mathbf{K}_0 \times \mathfrak{m}_0 \times \mathfrak{v}_0 \times \mathfrak{v}_n \ni (x, T, Y, Z) \longrightarrow x \cdot \exp(iT) \cdot \exp(iY) \cdot \exp(Z) \in \mathbf{K}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.2. *The map* (2.3) *is onto and we can find $r > 0$ such that its restriction to $\{b(T,T) < r^2\}$ is a diffeomorpism with the image.* □

Let $\mathbf{K}_0 \times_{\mathbf{V}_0} \mathfrak{m}_0$ be the quotient of $\mathbf{K}_0 \times \mathfrak{m}_0$ by $(x_1, T_1) \sim (x_2, T_2)$ iff $x_2 = x_1 \cdot y$ and $T_1 = \mathrm{Ad}(y)(T_2)$ and $\pi : \mathbf{K} \ni z \to z \cdot \mathbf{V} \in M_-$ the canonical projection. By passing to the quotients, (2.3) yields a smooth map

$$\mathbf{K}_0 \times_{\mathbf{V}_0} \mathfrak{m}_0 \ni [x, T] \longrightarrow \pi(x \cdot \exp(iT)) \in M_-, \tag{2.4}$$

which is surjective and, when restricted to $\{b(T,T) < r^2\}$, defines a tubular neighborhood U_r of M_0 in M_-. The function $\phi([x,T]) = b(T,T)/(r^2 - b(T,T))$ is then an exhaustion function of the tubular neighborhood U_r.

2.2. **A local result.** We can use Proposition 2.2 to precise, in this special case, the size of the tubular neighborhoods of [13, Theorem 2.1]:

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that M_0 is q-pseudoconcave, of type (n,k). Then we can find $r_0 > 0$ such that U_r is is q-pseudoconcave and $(n-q)$-pseudoconvex and the natural restriction maps*

$$H^{p,j}_{\overline{\partial}}(U_r) \longrightarrow H^{p,j}_{\overline{\partial}_{M_0}}(M_0)$$

are isomorphisms of finite dimensional vector spaces for $0 < r < r_0$, for all $0 \le p \le n + k$ and either $j < q$ or $j > n - q$. □

2.3. **Mostow fibration of M_- in the** HNR **case (II).** In [19] the Authors show that (2.3) and (2.4) are not, in general, global diffeomorphisms. To single out a large class of of compact homogeneous $\mathfrak{n}$-reductive *CR* manifolds having a *nice* Mostow fibration, they introduce the following notion[2].

Definition 2.1. The *CR* algebra $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$ is HNR if $\mathfrak{v} = (\mathfrak{v} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{v}}) \oplus \mathfrak{v}_n$ and

$$\mathfrak{q} = \{Z \in \mathfrak{k} \mid [Z, \mathfrak{v}_n] \subset \mathfrak{v}_n\} \quad \text{is parabolic.}$$

Note that, when $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$ is $\mathfrak{n}$-reductive, it is always possible to find a parabolic $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ with $\mathfrak{v} \subset \mathfrak{q}$, $\mathfrak{q} = (\mathfrak{q} \cap \overline{\mathfrak{q}}) \oplus \mathfrak{q}_n$, and $\mathfrak{v}_n \subset \mathfrak{q}_n$. Then $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v}_r \oplus \mathfrak{q}_n)$ is HNR and describes a *stronger* $\mathbf{K}_0$-homogeneous *CR* structure on the same manifold M_0.

Proposition 2.4. *If its CR algebra* $(\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{v})$ *is* HNR*, then* (2.3) *and* (2.4) *are diffeomorphisms.*

In this case M_- admits *a Mostow fibration with Hermitian fiber.*

[1] In fact, if $\overline{Z} \in \overline{\mathfrak{v}}_n$, then $\overline{Z} = -Z + (Z + \overline{Z})$, with $Z = \overline{\overline{Z}} \in \mathfrak{v}_n$ and $Z + \overline{Z} \in \mathfrak{k}_0$. The sum is direct because $\mathfrak{v}_n \cap \mathfrak{k}_0 = \{0\}$.

[2] Actually they consider a slightly less restrictive condition, which is related to a notion of weak *CR*-degeneracy for homogeneous *CR* manifolds that was introduced in [22].

2.4. **Application to cohomology.** In the HNR case we can use $\phi([x,T]) = b(T,T)$ as an exhaustion function on M_-. Assume that M_0 has type (n,k). It is shown in [19] that, for $T \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ and $\xi = b(T,\cdot) \in H^0_{p_0}M_0$, if the Levi form L_ξ has signature $(\lambda^+(\xi),\lambda^-(\xi))$, then the complex Hessian of ϕ at the point $\pi(x\cdot\exp(iT))$ has signature $(\lambda^+(\xi)+k,\lambda^-(\xi))$. Then we get

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that M_0 is q-pseudoconcave and has a CR algebra which is* HNR. *Then M_- is q-pseudoconcave and $(n-q)$-pseudoconvex and the natural restriction maps*

$$H^{p,j}_{\bar\partial}(U_r) \longrightarrow H^{p,j}_{\bar\partial_{M_0}}(M_0)$$

are isomorphisms of finite dimensional vector spaces for $0 < r < r_0$, for all $0 \le p \le n+k$ and either $j < q$ or $j > n-q$.

Proof. The statement follows from [5] and the computation of the signature of the exhaustion function ϕ. □

3. Example: General orbits of $\mathbf{SU}(p,q)$ in the Grassmannian

Let us consider the orbits of the real form $\mathbf{G}_0 = \mathbf{SU}(p,q)$ of $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathcal{G}r_m(\mathbb{C}^{p+q})$. We assume that $p \le q$. Let $\hbar$ be the Hermitian symmetric form of signature (p,q) in $\mathbb{C}^{p+q}$ employed to define $\mathbf{SU}(p,q)$.

The orbits of $\mathbf{G}_0$ are classified by the signature of the restriction of $\hbar$ to their m-planes: to a pair of nonnegative integers a,b with

$$(*)\qquad a+b \le m,\quad p_0 = \max\{0,m-q\} \le a \le p,\quad q_0 = \max\{0,m-p\} \le b \le q,$$

correspond the orbit $M_+(a,b)$ consisting of m-planes ℓ for which $\ker(\hbar|_\ell)$ has signature (a,b).

To fix a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathbf{SU}(p,q)$ we choose a couple of $\hbar$-orthogonal subspaces $W_+ \simeq \mathbb{C}^p$, $W_- \simeq \mathbb{C}^q$ of $\mathbb{C}^{p+q}$, with $\hbar > 0$ on W_+, $\hbar < 0$ on W_-, $\mathbb{C}^{p+q} = W_+ \oplus W_-$. Then $\mathbf{K}_0 \simeq \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}(p)\times\mathbf{U}(q))$, and $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbf{K}_0 \simeq \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{GL}_p(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbf{GL}_q(\mathbb{C}))$, with the first factor operating on W_+ and the second on W_-. The orbits of $\mathbf{K}$ in $\mathcal{G}r_m(\mathbb{C}^{p+q})$ are characterized by the dimension of $\ell\cap W_+$ and $\ell\cap W_-$. Let us set, for a,b satisfying $(*)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_+(a,b) &= \{\ell \in \mathcal{G}r_m(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}) \mid \hbar|_\ell \text{ has signature } (a,b)\},\\
M_-(a,b) &= \{\ell \in \mathcal{G}r_m(\mathbb{C}^{p+q}) \mid \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\ell\cap W_+) = a,\ \ \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\ell\cap W_-) = b\},\\
M_0(a,b) &= M_+(a,b)\cap M_-(a,b).
\end{aligned}$$

To describe the *CR* structure of $M_0(a,b)$ it suffices to compute the Lie algebra of the stabilizer in $\mathbf{K}$ of any of its points. Let $e_1,\dots,e_p$ be an orthonormal basis of W_+ and $e_{p+1},\dots,e_{p+q}$ an orthonormal basis of W_-. Set $c = m-a-b$ and $n_1 = a$, $n_2 = c$, $n_3 = p-a-c$, $n_4 = b$, $n_5 = c$, $n_6 = q-b-c$. Let us choose the base point $p_0 = \langle e_1,\dots,e_a, e_{a+1}+e_{p+b+1},\dots,e_{a+c}, e_{p+a+c}, e_{p+1},\dots,e_{p+b}\rangle \in M_0(a,b)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{v} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Z_{1,1} & 0 & Z_{1,3} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & Z_{2,2} & Z_{2,3} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & Z_{3,3} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Z_{4,4} & 0 & Z_{4,6}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Z_{2,2} & Z_{5,6}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Z_{6,6} \end{pmatrix} \middle| \ Z_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i\times n_j} \right\} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We see that $(\mathfrak{k}_0,\mathfrak{v})$ is HNR and $M_0(a,b)$ has *CR* dimension

$$n = n_1 n_3 + n_2 n_3 + n_4 n_6 + n_2 n_6.$$

We have

$$\mathfrak{m} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & W_{1,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ W_{2,1} & W_{2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_{4,5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & W_{5,4} & -W_{2,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| \, W_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{n_i \times n_j} \right\} \cap \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C})$$

and hence the *CR* codimension of $M_0(a,b)$ is

$$k = n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_4 + n_2^2 = n_2(n_1 + n_2 + n_4).$$

We observe that for $c = 0$ the $M_+(a,b)$ are the open orbits, while the minimal orbit of $\mathbf{G}_0$ is $M_+(p_0,q_0)$. Then $M_0(a,b)$ is μ-pseudoconcave with

$$\mu = \min\{p - a - c, q - b - c\},$$

and $M_-(a,b)$ is μ-pseudoconcave and $(n-\mu)$-pseudoconvex.

References

[1] R. A. Aĭrapetyan and G. M. Khenkin, *Integral representations of differential forms on Cauchy-Riemann manifolds and the theory of CR-functions*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **39** (1984), no. 3(237), 39–106. MR 747791

[2] ———, *Integral representations of differential forms on Cauchy-Riemann manifolds and the theory of CR-functions. II*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **127(169)** (1985), no. 1, 92–112, 143. MR 791319

[3] A. Altomani, C. Medori, and M. Nacinovich, *Reductive compact homogeneous CR manifolds*, Transform. Groups **18** (2013), no. 2, 289–328. MR 3055768

[4] Aldo Andreotti, Gregory Fredricks, and Mauro Nacinovich, *On the absence of Poincaré lemma in tangential Cauchy-Riemann complexes*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **8** (1981), no. 3, 365–404. MR 634855 (83e:32021)

[5] Aldo Andreotti and Hans Grauert, *Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bulletin de la Socit Mathmatique de France **90** (1962), 193–259.

[6] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **68** (1958), 721–734. MR 0112160

[7] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Hermann, Paris, 1975, Fasc. XXXVIII: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre VII: Sous-algèbres de Cartan, éléments réguliers. Chapitre VIII: Algèbres de Lie semi-simples déployées, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, No. 1364. MR MR0453824 (56 #12077)

[8] ———, *Élements de Mathematique*, Masson, Paris, 1982, Fasc. XXXVIII: Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre 9: Groupes de Lie réels compacts.

[9] Judith Brinkschulte, C. Denson Hill, and Mauro Nacinovich, *On the nonvanishing of abstract Cauchy-Riemann cohomology groups*, Math. Ann. **363** (2015), no. 1-2, 1–15. MR 2592093 (2010j:32058)

[10] lie Cartan, *Sur la gomtrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes i*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **4** (1932), no. 4, 17–90 (fre).

[11] ———, *Sur la gomtrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes ii*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze **1** (1932), no. 4, 333–354 (fre).

[12] V. V. Gorbatsevich, A. L. Onishchik, and E. B. Vinberg, *Structure of lie groups and lie algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, Translated from the Russian by A. Kozlowski,. MR MR1631937 (99c:22009)

[13] C. Denson Hill and Mauro Nacinovich, *Aneurysms of pseudoconcavecr manifolds*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **220** (1995), no. 1, 347–367.

[14] ———, *Pseudoconcave CR manifolds*, Complex analysis and geometry (Trento, 1993), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 173, Dekker, New York, 1996, pp. 275–297. MR 1365978 (97c:32013)

[15] ———, *On the failure of the Poincaré lemma for $\overline{\partial}_M$. II*, Math. Ann. **335** (2006), no. 1, 193–219. MR 2217688 (2006m:32043)

[16] A.A. Kirillov, *Lectures on the orbit method*, American Mathematical Society, New York, 2004, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 64. MR MR0323842 (48 #2197)
[17] A. W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, second ed., Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2002. MR MR1920389 (2003c:22001)
[18] Stefano Marini, *Relations of CR and Dolbeault cohomologies for Matsuki dual orbits in complex flag manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, Scuola Dottorale di Scienze Matematiche e Fisiche - Università di Roma Tre, 2016.
[19] Stefano Marini and Mauro Nacinovich, *Mostow's fibration for canonical embeddings of compact homogeneous cr manifolds*, manuscript (2016), 1–36.
[20] Toshihiko Matsuki, *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), no. 2, 331–357. MR 527548
[21] ———, *Closure relations for orbits on affine symmetric spaces under the action of parabolic subgroups. Intersections of associated orbits*, Hiroshima Math. J. **18** (1988), no. 1, 59–67. MR MR935882 (89f:53073)
[22] C. Medori and M. Nacinovich, *Algebras of infinitesimal CR automorphisms*, J. Algebra **287** (2005), no. 1, 234–274. MR MR2134266 (2006a:32043)
[23] G. D. Mostow, *Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 200–221. MR MR0092928 (19,1181f)
[24] ———, *Covariant fiberings of Klein spaces. II*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 466–474. MR MR0142688 (26 #257)
[25] M. Nacinovich, *Poincaré lemma for tangential Cauchy-Riemann complexes*, Math. Ann. **268** (1984), no. 4, 449–471. MR 753407 (86e:32025)
[26] Roger Zierau, *Representations in Dolbeault cohomology*, Representation theory of Lie groups (Park City, UT, 1998), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 91–146. MR 1737727

S. Marini: Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, III Università di Roma, Largo San Leonardo Murialdo, 1 00146, Roma (Italy)
E-mail address: marinistefano86@gmail.com

M. Nacinovich: Dipartimento di Matematica, II Università di Roma "Tor Vergata", Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma (Italy)
E-mail address: nacinovi@mat.uniroma2.it